# Using cognitive interviews to develop a conceptual claims-based algorithm to identify patients with neuromyelitis optica spectrum disorder

A. Exuzides, PhD,<sup>1</sup> I. Yermilov, MD, MPH, MS,<sup>2</sup> C. Campos, MPH,<sup>2</sup> S.N. Gibbs, MPH,<sup>2</sup> M.S. Broder, MD, MSHS,<sup>2</sup> S. Cohan, MD, PhD,<sup>3</sup> B. Greenberg, MD<sup>4</sup> and M. Levy, MD, PhD<sup>5</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Genentech, Inc, South San Francisco, CA, USA; <sup>2</sup>Partnership for Health Analytic Research, LLC, Beverly Hills, CA, USA; <sup>3</sup>Providence Health; Portland, OR, USA; <sup>4</sup>The University of Texas, Southwestern Medical Center, Dallas, TX, USA; <sup>5</sup>Massachusetts General Hospital and Harvard University, Boston, MA, USA

# **Objective**



To develop an algorithm to identify patients with NMOSD in healthcare claims data sets

# **Background**

Neuromyelitis optica spectrum disorder (NMOSD) is an inflammatory autoimmune disorder of the central nervous system (CNS) primarily characterized by acute attacks on the optic nerves, spinal cord, brain and brainstem

- These unpredictable attacks often lead to permanent neurological deficits and disability, including blindness and paralysis
- The economic and social burden of NMOSD is significant

Being able to identify patients with NMOSD using International Classifications of Diseases (ICD) codes and distinguish them from patients with multiple sclerosis (MS), myelin oligodendrocyte glycoprotein-antibody associated disease (MOGAD) and other CNS inflammatory disorders, would enable a more precise estimation of the burden of NMOSD. In this study, we conducted cognitive interviews with NMOSD experts in order to develop a claims-based algorithm

### **Methods**

#### **Interviews**

We recruited 3 neurologists from across the United States:

- 1. Massachusetts
- 2. Oregon
- 3. Texas

We conducted **2 cognitive interviews** with each expert
to develop candidate
algorithms capable of
accurately identifying
patients with NMOSD using
healthcare claims data
alone

#### First Round

During the first-round interviews, experts were asked which diagnoses, medications and procedures available in claims data would identify patients with NMOSD and distinguish them from patients with related neurological conditions

#### **Second Round**

During the second-round interviews, experts reviewed potential criteria that could be included in the final candidate algorithms

Using the results of these interviews, we developed a primary algorithm and several alternative versions

## **Results**

# The primary algorithm developed is as follows:

≥18 years old

**AND** 

Iterations of this algorithm included sequentially adding or removing various elements (e.g., ≥2 NMOSD diagnoses ≥90 days apart, ≥1 NMOSD drug, sarcoidosis or MS diagnoses

≥1 NMOSD diagnosis **OR** (≥1 transverse myelitis **AND** optic neuritis diagnosis) **AND** ≥1 NMOSD drug<sup>a</sup>

OR

≥2 NMOSD diagnoses ≥90 days apart

**AND NOT** any of the following exclusion criteria:

- MS diagnosis or MS-specific disease-modifying therapy<sup>a</sup> after the last NMOSD diagnosis or NMOSD drug<sup>a</sup>
- Sarcoidosis diagnosis after the last NMOSD diagnosis
- ≥1 immune checkpoint inhibitora

# <sup>a</sup>Table 1. Drugs included in algorithm

Disease	Drugs included in algorithm
NMOSD	Azathioprine, bortezomib, eculizumab, inebilizumab, mycophenolate mofetil, rituximab, satralizumab and tocilizumab
MS	Alemtuzumab, interferon beta, cladribine, daclizumab, dimethyl fumarate, fingolimod, glatiramer acetate, mitoxantrone, natalizumab, ocrelizumab, ofatumumab, ozanimod, siponimod and teriflunomide
Immune checkpoint inhibitors	Atezolizumab, avelumab, cemiplimab, durvalumab, ipilimumab, nivolumab and pembrolizumab

## Conclusions

- We developed a primary algorithm and alternative versions that can be used to improve identification of patients with NMSOD in healthcare claims
- The algorithms have inherently high face validity because the items included are based on clinical expertise and practice
- Algorithm testing and validation using both medical chart review and billing data is ongoing and is expected to substantiate our algorithms



Scan the QR code or click here to download a pdf